

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
17 February 2005 (17.02.2005)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 2005/014472 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **C01B 17/90**

17, FI-28430 Pori (FI). TASKINEN, Pekka [FI/FI]; Pensastaskuntie 28, FI-28220 Pori (FI).

(21) International Application Number:  
**PCT/FI2004/000456**

(74) Agent: **OUTOKUMPU OYJ, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT; P.O.Box 27, FI-02201 Espoo (FI).**

(22) International Filing Date: 16 July 2004 (16.07.2004)

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,

(25) Filing Language: English

AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN,

(26) Publication Language: English

CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI,

(30) Priority Data:  
20031146 12 August 2003 (12.08.2003) FI

GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE,

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **OUTOKUMPU OYJ [FI/FI]; Riihitontuntie 7, FI-02200 Espoo (FI).**

KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD,

(72) Inventors; and

MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG,

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **BERG, Dag [SE/NO]; Eidesåsen 50, N-5750 Odda (NO). NYBERG, Jens [FI/FI]; Matruusinkatu 3 D, FI-67100 Kokkola (FI). RYTIOJA, Aija [FI/FI]; Pormestarinkatu 29 B, FI-67100 Kokkola (FI). PELTOLA, Heljä [FI/FI]; Kartanonranta**

PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM,


TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM,

ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: METHOD FOR THE REMOVAL OF MERCURY FROM SULPHURIC ACID WITH THIOSULPHATE PRECIPITATION



(57) Abstract: This invention relates to a method for removing mercury from dilute sulphuric acid. According to the method from dilute sulphuric acid solution is scrubbed with an aqueous solution containing thiosulphate, which precipitates the mercury present in the sulphuric acid solution either as mercury sulphide or, in a chlorine-containing environment, as a mercury sulphur-mercury chloride double salt. The quantity of thiosulphate to be fed is adjusted so that it precipitates the mercury in the sulphuric acid solution, but still does not form elemental sulphur.

**WO 2005/014472 A1**

**Declarations under Rule 4.17:**

- *as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii)) for the following designations AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW, ARIPO patent (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG)*
- *of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv)) for US only*

**Published:**

- *with international search report*

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

## METHOD FOR THE REMOVAL OF MERCURY FROM SULPHURIC ACID WITH THIOSULPHATE PRECIPITATION

This invention relates to a method for removing mercury from dilute sulphuric acid. According to the method sulphuric acid solution is scrubbed with an aqueous solution containing thiosulphate, which precipitates the mercury present in the sulphuric acid solution either as mercury sulphide or, in a chlorine-containing environment, as a mercury sulphide-mercury chloride double salt. The quantity of thiosulphate to be fed is adjusted so that it precipitates the mercury in the sulphuric acid solution, but still does not form elemental sulphur.

Sulphuric acid is generally manufactured from sulphur dioxide-containing gases such as zinc and copper concentrate roasting and smelter gases. The gases are routed to scrubbing towers, where they are scrubbed with dilute sulphuric acid with a concentration in the region of 35 – 45 wt %. Mercury is removed from the gases as thoroughly as possible, but some droplets of dilute sulphuric acid solution used in gas scrubbing, with an Hg content of up to 10 – 20 g/l, also leave in the gas flow from the scrubbing towers. This is equivalent to 7.7 – 15.3 kg/t  $H_2SO_4$  in sulphuric acid with a content of 40 wt %. The proportion of mercury carried in the droplets may be many tens of times greater than gaseous mercury. The thorough removal of mercury from the circulation acid droplets carried along in the gases is therefore crucial in the preparation of top-quality sulphuric acid.

25

US patent 6,228,270 describes a method whereby calcium, mercury and selenium are removed from very dilute sulphuric acid used in gas scrubbing. The sulphuric acid content is only 0 - 50 g/l. Impurities are removed from the solution before it is neutralised and sewered. Calcium is removed from the solution by filtration before the other substances are removed. Mercury is removed from the filtered solution by precipitation with sodium sulphide and

selenium is removed by means of sodium dithionite. The precipitated impurities are removed by filtration in the same stage.

GB patent 1409456 describes a method where mercury is removed from concentrated sulphuric acid by adding thiosulphate to the acid and separating the sulphur and other precipitate generated for instance by flotation or filtration. According to the method, thiosulphate always decomposes first into sulphur trioxide and elemental sulphur. The method is based on the principle that as thiosulphate breaks down, the elemental sulphur generated is colloidal and highly reactive, and that it has a wide and active surface. The mercury dissolved in the concentrated sulphuric acid reacts with this colloidal sulphur precipitate. After some time, the sulphur particles agglomerate to a particle size of about 100 µm. The mercury in the acid is precipitated with the sulphur. It is advantageous to feed plenty of thiosulphate (5–10 kg/m<sup>3</sup> acid), since it accomplishes the rapid precipitation of sulphur agglomerates. Elemental sulphur and mercury are preferably removed from the sulphuric acid by flotation, where a frother is fed into and air is routed into the acid. The sulphuric acid described in the patent is the end product of an acid plant, concentrated sulphuric acid, of which the lowest mentioned content is 70 % and the amount of soluble mercury to be removed is quite small, with an initial content of a maximum of about 25 g/t H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

The mercury removal method presented in GB patent 1409456 is based on the decomposition of thiosulphate in concentrated sulphuric acid and the reactivity of the sulphur formed. Thiosulphate is fed abundantly in relation to the amount needed to bind the excess mercury. The text mentions as an example, that there was 3.5 g Hg/t H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in the sulphuric acid and that 1 kg of thiosulphate was fed per cubic metre of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. As the acid content of the sulphuric acid in this case was 70 wt %, this means that 1kg of thiosulphate was fed per 1.611 t H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (= 621 g/t H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). Expressed as a molar ratio this means that the thiosulphate/mercury ratio is about 140, when the

thiosulphate formula is  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The precipitating sulphur is removed by flotation, but this is rather a difficult treatment method for concentrated sulphuric acid. The other method mentioned in the example is filtration.

- 5 This invention relates to a method whereby mercury is removed from dilute sulphuric acid by thiosulphate precipitation. Dilute sulphuric acid in this context means an aqueous solution of sulphuric acid with a content generally in the region of 35 – 45 wt %. This kind of acid is for instance the acid solution used in the scrubbing of gases that contain sulphur dioxide. The Hg
- 10 content of the sulphuric acid is at least 1 g/l  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ . A thiosulphate alkali metal compound in aqueous solution is routed into the sulphuric acid solution, where the thiosulphate reacts with the mercury in solution forming either mercury sulphide, or if there is also chloride in the scrubbing solution, a mercury sulphide-mercury chloride double salt. Thiosulphate is routed into
- 15 the scrubbing solution in a molar ratio of maximum 1.0 to the amount of mercury that will prevent the formation of harmful elemental sulphur. The precipitate formed is removed from the sulphuric acid solution typically by filtration.
- 20 The essential features of the invention will be made apparent in the attached claims.

When gases containing sulphur dioxide are scrubbed in scrubbing towers with dilute sulphuric acid solution, at least some of the mercury in the gases


25 is also washed out. Mercury thus accumulates in the circulation acid of the scrubbing towers, and when these acid drops get transported along with the gases, they raise the Hg content of the concentrated sulphuric acid under preparation.

- 30 Usually there is also some chloride present with the sulphur dioxide-containing gases, so that the mercury in the gases dissolves into the scrubbing

solution as mercury chloride and also remains there (dissolved) as chloride. Mercury dissolves in chloride-free scrubbing solutions as sulphate. When the scrubbing solution contains chloride, thiosulphate is routed into it at a molar ratio of a maximum of 0.67 to the amount of mercury, and if the scrubbing  
5 solution is chloride-free, thiosulphate is added at a molar ratio of maximum 1.0.

Mercury is generally removed from scrubbing tower circulation acid by precipitation as a suitable compound, insoluble in the scrubbing solution, such  
10 as Hg selenide, Hg sulphide or another insoluble mercury salt. Precipitation can be made directly from the scrubbing tower circulation solution, whereby the precipitate generated is removed from the tower as the underflow. Another alternative is precipitation from a side-stream separated from the scrubbing tower, from which the precipitate is removed for example by filtration.

15 It has now been found that mercury dissolved in dilute sulphuric acid solution can also be removed by precipitation with an alkaline metal thiosulphate salt. For example, sodium thiosulphate,  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , has proved to be a practical compound. It has also been found in tests that thiosulphate reacts  
20 directly with mercury ions, and does not first form an elemental sulphur phase. The following reactions occur when thiosulphate reacts with mercury:



25 The decomposition of thiosulphate into elemental sulphur begins only after all the mercury has completely precipitated from the solution. Since elemental sulphur complicates the processing of the precipitate formed in the scrubbing towers, its appearance is not desired. As shown above, in reaction 1 the molar ratio of thiosulphate and mercury is 2/3 and in reaction 2 the  
30 molar ratio is 1. When now a sufficient amount of thiosulphate is fed into the circulation acid to make the molar ratio in relation to the mercury in the

circulation acid a maximum of 2/3 in a chloride-containing solution and a maximum of 1 in a chloride-free solution, mercury can be removed from the circulation acid without the formation of harmful elemental sulphur.

- 5 The invention is described further by means of the following example and Figure 1, where

Figure 1 is a graphical presentation of the precipitation of mercury from sulphuric acid solution using sodium thiosulphate as a function of time at a temperature of 60 °C.

10

#### Example 1

A mini-reactor was charged with 2000 ml of dilute sulphuric acid solution, which had been used in the scrubbing of sulphur dioxide-containing gas in scrubbing towers, and contained mercury. The solution was heated in the 15 first and third tests to 60°C and in the second test the temperature was 25°C. In the first two solutions chloride was included, but not in the third. 42-43 ml of 1-molar sodium thiosulphate solution was added below the surface of the solution at 20-minute intervals. The results are described in Table 1 below.

20 Table 1

		Test 1 60°C	Test 2 25°C	Test 3 60°C
H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> (g/l)		520	472	556
Cl <sup>-</sup> (g/l)		13.3	15.3	-
Time (min)	Na <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /Hg <sup>2+</sup> (mol/mol)	Hg(sol) (g/l)	Hg(sol) (g/l)	Hg(sol) (g/l)
0	0	16.6	17.4	17.4
1	0.25	11.4	12.0	7.2
20	0.25	11.1	11.2	7.2
40	0.50	5.2	3.1	0.35
60	0.75	0.007	<0.0001	<0.0001
80	1.00	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001


The results show that the amount of soluble mercury ( $\text{Hg}(\text{sol})$ ) had fallen largely below 0.1 mg/l when the molar ratio of thiosulphate and mercury was 0.75. The Redox-potential measurement carried out during the tests showed that the precipitation of the mercury had, however, finished before this, at a 5 molar ratio of 0.67. This can be seen in the Redox-potential curve of Figure 1 and the transition point that appears there, corresponding to a molar ratio of 0.67. X-ray diffraction analysis proved that mercury precipitates from a chloride-containing scrubbing solution in the form  $\alpha\text{-Hg}_3\text{S}_2\text{Cl}_2 = 2\text{HgS}\cdot\text{HgCl}_2$ . The compound is known as a natural mineral called corderoite. Mercury 10 precipitates from a chloride-free solution as the sulphide  $\text{HgS}$ . During the tests there was no sign of sulphur generation until the thiosulphate/mercury molar ratio exceeded 0.75.

As the example shows, the method can be used to remove mercury from 15 dilute sulphuric acid fairly well, as in the worst case the mercury content was 7 mg/l and in most tests it was less than 1 mg/l. If we calculate roughly that the amount of mercury remains between 1-5 mg/l, this corresponds to a content of 1 – 4 ppm in sulphuric acid with a content of 40 wt %. Thus the method has achieved its objective, i.e. the removal of mercury from a dilute 20 sulphuric acid solution.

## PATENT CLAIMS

1. A method for the removal of mercury from a dilute aqueous solution of sulphuric acid, **characterised in that** an aqueous solution of thiosulphate alkali metal compound is fed into a solution of sulphuric acid with an acid content of 35 – 45 wt % and an Hg content of at least 1 g/l at a molar ratio corresponding to a maximum of one time the amount of mercury dissolved in the acid solution, so that the mercury reacts with the thiosulphate, precipitating the mercury that is in solution.  
5
- 10 2. A method according to claim 1, **characterised in that** mercury is precipitated as mercury sulphide HgS.
- 15 3. A method according to claim 1, **characterised in that** the aqueous solution of sulphuric acid contains chloride ions, so that the mercury is in solution as mercury chloride.
- 20 4. A method according to claim 3, **characterised in that** an aqueous solution of thiosulphate alkali metal compound is fed into a solution of sulphuric acid at a molar ratio that corresponds to a maximum of 0.67 times the amount of mercury dissolved in the acidic solution.
- 25 5. A method according to claim 3, **characterised in that** in a chloride environment mercury is recovered as a double salt  $2\text{HgS}\cdot\text{HgCl}_2$ .
6. A method according to claim 1, **characterised in that** the thiosulphate alkali compound is sodium thiosulphate,  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .
- 30 7. A method according to claim 1, **characterised in that** the precipitated mercury sediment is recovered by filtration.

1/1

**Fig. 1**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FI 2004/000456

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

**IPC7: C01B 17/90**

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

**IPC7: C01B, B01D**

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

**SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above**

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

**EPO-INTERNAL, WPI DATA, PAJ**

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	GB 1409456 A (BOLIDEN AKTIEBOLAG), 8 October 1975 (08.10.1975), page 3, line 43 - line 59, claims 1-7 --	1-7
A	US 3932149 A (KARL-AXEL MELKERSSON), 13 January 1976 (13.01.1976), column 6, line 22 - line 40, abstract --	1-7
A	US 6228270 B1 (GEORGE HOULACHI ET AL), 8 May 2001 (08.05.2001), abstract --	1-7
A	WO 8402123 A1 (BOLIDEN AKTIEBOLAG), 7 June 1984 (07.06.1984), abstract --	1-7

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	
"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
"E"	earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date
"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed
"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"X"	document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"Y"	document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"&"	document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

29 October 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report

02-11-2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA/  
Swedish Patent Office  
Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM  
Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86Authorized officer  
**Moa Grönkvist/MP**  
Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

01/10/2004

PCT/FI 2004/000456

GB	1409456	A	08/10/1975	BE	799741 A	17/09/1973
				CA	983671 A	17/02/1976
				DE	2324694 A,B,C	05/12/1974
				FR	2229643 A,B	13/12/1974
				NL	7306904 A	19/11/1974

US	3932149	A	13/01/1976	AU	475870 B	02/09/1976
				AU	6908674 A	20/11/1975
				BE	815167 A	16/09/1974
				CA	1019134 A	18/10/1977
				DE	2423815 A,C	05/12/1974
				ES	426396 A	01/07/1976
				FI	58621 B,C	28/11/1980
				FI	152474 A	19/11/1974
				FR	2229642 A,B	13/12/1974
				GB	1438601 A	09/06/1976
				IE	39391 B,L	27/09/1978
				IT	1012486 B	10/03/1977
				JP	1146471 C	12/05/1983
				JP	50032094 A	28/03/1975
				JP	57021481 B	07/05/1982
				NL	180828 B,C	01/12/1986
				NL	7406693 A	20/11/1974
				NO	138879 B,C	21/08/1978
				PH	12337 A	16/01/1979
				SE	396361 B,C	19/09/1977

US	6228270	B1	08/05/2001	AU	775117 B	15/07/2004
				AU	5379100 A	02/01/2001
				BR	0002611 A	02/01/2001
				CA	2412393 A	21/12/2000
				EP	1226092 A	31/07/2002
				NO	20016042 A	08/02/2002
				WO	0076917 A	21/12/2000

WO	8402123	A1	07/06/1984	AU	2337884 A	18/06/1984
				EP	0126763 A	05/12/1984
				JP	59502144 T	27/12/1984
				SE	439153 B,C	03/06/1985
				SE	8206917 A	04/06/1984